

INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN 2010 REV 2 – FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE

The draft Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) 2010 Revision 2 has been published for public comment and feedback. Considering the anticipated volumes of submissions it is imperative that all submissions have to be submitted on this submission framework questionnaire which is based on the draft IRP.

Note: Whilst additional submissions will be registered and noted, it is not possible to analyse them to the extent that will be done on the submissions using this framework.

Please use this questionnaire to provide inputs into the draft IRP.

KEY CRITERIA USED TO DEVELOP THE DAFT IRP 2010

| Proposed Criteria | Q1. To what extent do you believe the draft IRP meets this criterion? If you believe it did not, explain why. | Q2. How would you weight the criterion (in the range 0 to 10)? |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| (a) Least cost to the consumer | | |
| (b) Lowest greenhouse gas emissions | | |
| (c) Lowest water consumption | | |
| (d) Least risk or uncertainty | | |
| (e) Greatest localisation potential | | |
| (f) Greatest regional development | | |

Q 3. What additional criteria do you believe should have been added in evaluating the scenarios?

| Additional criteria | Why? | Weight (in the range 0 to 10) |
|---------------------|------|-------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

SCENARIOS

Q 4. What additional scenarios do you believe should have been run and explain why?

RISKS

Q 5. What additional risks do you see as a result of the draft IRP, and how would these be mitigated?

PROCESS

Q 6. What improvements would you recommend for the IRP determination process?

GENERAL

Q 7. To what extent do you feel the expected demand provides a realistic view of future consumption? If you believe it does not please explain why.

Q 8. To what extent do you feel the proposed IRP provides a practical solution to the energy needs of the country? If you believe it does not please explain why.

Q 9. To what extent do you feel the proposed IRP supports the economic development of the country? If you believe it does not please explain why.

Q 10. Given the assumptions identified in the table below, do you feel these were: too high, too low, or acceptable? Please provide comment.

| Assumption | Too High | Acceptable | Too Low | If not acceptable, why? |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Gross Domestic Product | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Electricity intensity | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Demand Side Management | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Own generation | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Climate change | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Carbon tax | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Water | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Cost of unserved energy | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Discount rate | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Renewable energy | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Exchange rate | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Co-generation | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Nuclear | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Imports | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |
| Generation lifecycle costs | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | |